

Real Analysis Qual, Fall 2019

Problem 1. Let $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers.

(a) Prove that if $\lim a_n = 0$ then

$$\lim \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} = 0.$$

(b) Prove that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n}$ converges, then $\lim \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} = 0$.

Proof. We prove (a). Take $\epsilon > 0$. Pick N_1 large enough such that $|a_n| < \epsilon/3$ for all $n \geq N_1$. Then, pick N_2 large enough such that for all $n, m \geq N_2$, $|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon/3$. Set $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Then, for all $n \geq N$, we have $|a_n| = |a_n - a_N| + |a_N| < \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 < \epsilon$. So, for any $n \geq N$, observe that

$$\sum_{k=N}^n \left| \frac{a_k}{n} \right| < \sum_{k=N}^n \frac{\epsilon}{n} = \frac{n - N}{n} \epsilon \leq \epsilon.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=N}^n \frac{a_k}{n} \right| \leq \epsilon$. Moreover, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_k}{n} = 0$. Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{n} \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \frac{a_k}{n} \right| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=N}^n \left| \frac{a_k}{n} \right| \leq 0 + \epsilon = \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{n} = 0$.

We now prove (b). **This is giving me real trouble** □

Problem 2. Prove that $\left| \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $x \neq 0$ and positive integers n .

Hint: Consider $\int_0^1 \cos(tx) dt$.

Proof. For $x \neq 0$, we have $\frac{\sin(x)}{x} = \int_0^1 \cos(tx) dt$. We show $\frac{d^n}{dx^n} \cos(tx) = (-1)^n t^n \cos(tx + \frac{n\pi}{2})$. For our base case,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \cos(tx) = -t \sin(tx) = -t \cos\left(tx + \frac{\pi}{2}\right),$$

as needed. Applying our inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^{n+1}}{dx^{n+1}} &= \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{d^n}{dx^n} \cos(tx) \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} (-1)^n t^n \cos\left(tx + \frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} t^{n+1} \sin\left(tx + \frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} t^{n+1} \cos\left(tx + \frac{(n+1)\pi}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So, the claim is proven.

Moreover, observe that $\cos(tx)$ is smooth in x on $[0, 1]$. Thus, every n th derivative $\frac{d^n}{dx^n} [\cos(tx)]$ is a smooth function over the compact domain $[0, 1]$. In particular, $\frac{d^n}{dx^n} [\cos(tx)]$

is bounded over $[0, 1]$, and thus integrable. So, we may differentiate under the integral sign to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^1 \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \cos(tx) dt = \int_0^1 \frac{d^{n+1}}{dx^{n+1}} \cos(tx) dt.$$

Since this holds for all positive n , then in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_0^1 \cos(tx) dt &= \int_0^1 \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \cos(tx) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (-1)^n t^n \cos\left(tx + \frac{n\pi}{2}\right) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left| (-1)^n t^n \cos\left(tx + \frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \right| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 t^n dt \\ &= \frac{t^{n+1}}{n+1} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{1}{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $x \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_0^1 \cos(tx) dx \leq \frac{1}{n+1},$$

thereby proving the claim. \square

Borel-Cantelli proofs are very common, and you should know them

Problem 3. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space with $\mu(X) = 1$, $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of \mathcal{B} -measurable subsets of X , and $B = \{x \in X : x \in B_n \text{ for infinitely many } n\}$.

- (a) Prove that B is also a \mathcal{B} -measurable subset of X .
- (b) Prove that if $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(B_n) < \infty$, then $\mu(B) = 0$.
- (c) Prove that if $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(B_n) = \infty$, and the sequence of set complements $\{B_n^c\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{n=k}^K B_n^c\right) = \prod_{n=k}^K (1 - \mu(B_n))$$

for all positive integers k and K with $k < K$, then $\mu(B) = 1$.

Hint: Use the fact that $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$ for all x .

Proof. If B contains x if and only if x is in infinitely many B_n , then $B = \limsup B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{k=n}^\infty B_k$. So, B is measurable. Suppose that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(B_n) < \infty$. Observe that $\bigcup_{n=k}^\infty B_n \supseteq \bigcup_{n=k+1}^\infty B_n$. Moreover, X is finite, so $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n$ has finite measure. Thus, by continuity from below,

$$\mu(B) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{k=n}^\infty B_k\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^\infty B_k\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n}^\infty \mu(B_k) = 0,$$

given that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n)$ is convergent and thus Cauchy. So, $\mu(B) = 0$.

Finally, we have

$$\mu(B^c) = \mu\left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} B_n\right)^c\right) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu(B_n)^c\right) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n^c\right) = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^K B_n^c\right).$$

Moreover,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^K B_n^c\right) = \prod_{n=1}^K (1 - \mu(B_n)) \leq \prod_{n=1}^K \exp(-\mu(B_n)) = \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^K \mu(B_n)\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\mu(B^c) = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^K B_n^c\right) = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^K \mu(B_n)\right).$$

Given that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) = \infty$, then $-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) = -\infty$, and so

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^K \mu(B_n)\right) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\mu(B^c) = 0$, forcing $\mu(B) = \mu(X \setminus B^c) = \mu(X) - \mu(B^c) = 1$. □

Problem 4. Let $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal sequence in a compact Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

- (a) Prove that for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ one has $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$.
- (b) Prove that for any sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ there exists an element $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $a_n = \langle x, u_n \rangle$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2$.

Proof. We prove (a). Set $y = x - \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x, u_k \rangle u_k$. Then, for all $1 \leq k \leq N$,

$$\langle y, u_k \rangle = \langle x - \langle x, u_k \rangle u_k, u_k \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\|x\|^2 = \|y + \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x, u_k \rangle u_k\|^2 = \|y\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^N \|\langle x, u_k \rangle u_k\|^2 = \|y\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^N |\langle x, u_k \rangle|^2.$$

So, we have for all N , $\sum_{k=1}^N |\langle x, u_k \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$. Taking $N \rightarrow \infty$ gives the result.

We now prove (b). Set $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k u_k$. We first prove that $x \in \mathcal{H}$. So, consider the partial sums $s_N = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k u_k$. Note that for n, m with $n \geq m$ we have

$$\|s_n - s_m\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{k=m}^n a_k u_k \right\|^2 = \sum_{k=m}^n |a_k|^2 \rightarrow_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

given that the sequence $(a_n) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. In particular, the partial sums s_N are Cauchy, and since \mathcal{H} is Hilbert, they converge to a limit. This limit is x , given that

$$\|x - s_N\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} a_k u_k \right\|^2 = \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \rightarrow_{N \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Since, again, $(a_n) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Thus $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover,

$$\langle x, u_k \rangle = \lim \langle s_N, u_k \rangle = \lim \langle a_k u_k, u_k \rangle = a_k.$$

Finally,

$$\|x\|^2 = \lim \|s_N\|^2 = \lim \sum_{k=1}^N |\langle a_k, u_k \rangle|^2 = \lim \sum_{k=1}^N |a_k|^2 = \lim \sum_{k=1}^N |\langle x, u_k \rangle|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_k \rangle|^2,$$

completing the proof. □

Problem 5. (Classic)

(a) Show that if f is continuous with compact support on \mathbb{R} , then

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x - y) - f(x)| dx = 0.$$

(b) Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and for each $h > 0$ let $\mathcal{A}_h f(x) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{|y| \leq h} f(x - y) dy$.

(i) Prove that $\|\mathcal{A}_h f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$ for all $h > 0$.

(ii) Prove that $\mathcal{A}_h f \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ as $h \rightarrow 0^+$.

Proof. We prove (a). Let $E = \text{supp } f$. Since E is compact and $|f|$ is continuous on E , then $|f|$ attains a maximum value, M . Therefore, $|f(x)| \leq M \mathbb{1}_E(x)$ for all x . Now, E is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} , so by Heine-Borel, E is bounded by a finite interval. In particular, $m(E) < \infty$, so $M \mathbb{1}_E$ is an integrable function. Observe that for all y , we have $|f(x - y) - f(x)| \leq 2M \mathbb{1}_E$. Moreover, $|f(x - y) - f(x)| \rightarrow_{y \rightarrow 0} 0$ pointwise. Therefore, by DCT, we obtain

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x - y) - f(x)| dx = \int 0 dx = 0.$$

When proving b(ii), we will need this to hold more generally for $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ functions, so we prove that it does so now. Take $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Compactly supported continuous functions are dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, so choose g supported continuous function. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f(x - y) - f(x)| dx &\leq \int |f(x - y) - g(x - y)| dx + \int |g(x - y) - f(x)| dx \\ &= \int |f(x) - g(x)| dx + \int |g(x - y) - g(x) + g(x) - f(x)| dx \\ &= \|f - g\|_1 + \int |g(x - y) - g(x)| dx + \int |g(x) - f(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2\|f - g\|_1 + \int |g(x - y) - g(x)| dx$$

So, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, we choose g sufficiently close to f so that $\|f - g\|_1 < \epsilon/4$, and then choose δ such that for all $|y| < \delta$, we have $\int |g(x - y) - g(x)| dx < \epsilon/2$. Then, for all $|y| < \delta$, we attain

$$\int |f(x - y) - f(x)| dx \leq 2\|f - g\|_1 + \int |g(x - y) - g(x)| dx < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, $\int |f(x - y) - f(x)| dx \rightarrow_{|y| \rightarrow 0} 0$, as needed.

Now, we prove (b). First, for part (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\mathcal{A}_h f(x)| dx &= \frac{1}{2h} \int \left| \int_{y \leq |h|} f(x - y) dy \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2h} \int \int_{y \leq |h|} |f(x - y)| dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|f(x - y)|$ is a nonnegative measurable function, we apply Tonelli's Theorem to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2h} \int \int_{y \leq |h|} |f(x - y)| dy dx = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{y \leq |h|} \int |f(x - y)| dx.$$

By invariance of the Lebesgue integral under shifts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{y \leq |h|} \int |f(x - y)| dx &= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{y \leq |h|} \int |f(x)| dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-h}^h 1 dy \right) \left(\int |f(x)| dx \right) \\ &= \int |f(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|\mathcal{A}_h(f)\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$.

We move on to part (ii). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f(x) - \mathcal{A}_h f(x)| dx &= \int \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{2h} \int_{|y| \leq h} f(x - y) dy \right| dx \\ &= \int \left| \frac{1}{2h} \int_{|y| \leq h} f(x) dy - \frac{1}{2h} \int_{|y| \leq h} f(x - y) dy \right| dx \\ &= \int \left| \frac{1}{2h} \int_{|y| \leq h} f(x) - f(x - y) dy \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2h} \int \int_{|y| \leq h} |f(x) - f(x - y)| dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

The integrand is a nonnegative measurable function, so by Tonelli's Theorem, we have

$$\frac{1}{2h} \int \int_{|y| \leq h} |f(x) - f(x - y)| dy dx = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{|y| \leq h} \int |f(x) - f(x - y)| dx dy.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By our proof in (a), take δ small enough that for all $|y| < \delta$, we have

$$\int |f(x) - f(x - y)| \, dx < \epsilon.$$

Then, set $h = \delta$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \int |f(x) - f(x - y)| \, dx \, dy &\leq \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \epsilon \, dy \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_h f \rightarrow f$ as $h \rightarrow 0^+$ for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. □